Amortized optimization

Brandon Amos

Meta AI NYC, Fundamental AI Research (FAIR)

github.com/facebookresearch/amortized-optimization-tutorial
github.com/bamos/presentations

Optimization is crucial technology

Optimization is a **modeling** and **decision-making** paradigm and **encodes reasoning operations**

Finds the **best way to interact** with a **representation of the world**

Focus: parametric optimization problems that are **repeatedly solved**





Breakthroughs enabled by optimization include

1. controlling systems (robotic, autonomous, mechanical, and multi-agent)







optimal solution objective context (or parameterization)

$$y^{\star}(x) \in \underset{y \in \mathcal{C}(x)}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(y; x)$$

optimization variable constraints



Breakthroughs enabled by optimization include

- 1. **controlling systems** (robotic, autonomous, mechanical, and multi-agent)
- 2. making operational decisions based on future predictions
- 3. efficiently **transporting** or **matching** resources, information, and measures
- 4. **allocating** budgets and portfolios
- 5. **designing** materials, molecules, and other structures
- 6. solving inverse problems (to infer underlying hidden costs, incentives, geometries, terrains)
- 7. parameter learning of predictive and statistical models





Repeatedly solving optimization problems

Tutorial on amortized optimization for learning to optimize over continuous domains. Amos, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2023. *On the model-based stochastic value gradient for continuous reinforcement learning*. Amos et al., L4DC 2021.



This talk: amortized optimization

Design decisions

Modeling paradigms for \hat{y}_{θ} (fully-amortized and semi-amortized models) **Learning** paradigms for \mathcal{L} (objective-based and regression-based)

Applications

Reinforcement learning and control (actor-critic methods, SAC, DDPG, GPS, BC) Variational inference (VAEs, semi-amortized VAEs) Meta-learning (HyperNets, MAML) Sparse coding (PSD, LISTA) Roots, fixed points, and convex optimization (NeuralDEQs, RLQP, NeuralSCS) Optimal transport (slicing, conjugation, Meta Optimal Transport)

Amortization: approximate the solution map

Tutorial on amortized optimization for learning to optimize over continuous domains. Amos, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2023.

A fast amortization model \hat{y}_{θ} can be 25,000 times faster than solving y^* from scratch for VAEs

Amortization model $\hat{y}_{\theta}(x)$ tries to approximate $y^{*}(x)$ **Example:** A neural network mapping from x to the solution

Loss \mathcal{L} measures how well \hat{y} fits y^* and optimized with $\min_{\theta} \mathcal{L}(\hat{y}_{\theta})$ **Regression:** $\mathcal{L}(\hat{y}_{\theta}) \coloneqq \mathbb{E}_{p(x)} \|\hat{y}_{\theta}(x) - y^*(x)\|_2^2$ **Objective:** $\mathcal{L}(\hat{y}_{\theta}) \coloneqq \mathbb{E}_{p(x)} f(\hat{y}_{\theta}(x))$



 ${\mathcal X}$

Modeling paradigms for \hat{y}_{θ}

How to best-predict the solution?

Fully-amortized models: Map from the context *x* to the solution **without** accessing the objective *f*

Example: Neural network mapping from *x* to the solution

Most of our applications will focus on these

Semi-amortized models: Internally access the objective *f*

Example: Gradient-based meta-learning models such as MAML

$$\hat{y}^0_{\theta} \rightarrow \hat{y}^1_{\theta} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \hat{y}^K_{\theta} =: \hat{y}_{\theta}(x)$$

Learning paradigms for ${\cal L}$

What should the model \hat{y}_{θ} optimize for?

Regression-based

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}}(\hat{y}_{\theta}) \coloneqq \mathbb{E}_{p(x)} \| \hat{y}_{\theta}(x) - y^{\star}(x) \|_{2}^{2}$

- Does not consider f(y;x)
- + Uses global information with $y^{\star}(x)$
- Expensive to compute $y^{\star}(x)$
- + Does not compute $\nabla_y f(y; x)$
- Hard to learn non-unique $y^{\star}(x)$



Objective-based: $\mathcal{L}_{\text{obj}}(\hat{y}_{\theta}) \coloneqq \mathbb{E}_{p(x)} f(\hat{y}_{\theta}(x); x)$

- + Uses objective information of f(y; x)
- Can get stuck in local optima of f(y; x)
- + Faster, does not require $y^{\star}(x)$
- Often requires computing $\nabla_y f(y; x)$
- + Easily learns non-unique $y^{\star}(x)$



This talk: amortized optimization

Design decisions

Modeling paradigms for \hat{y}_{θ} (fully-amortized and semi-amortized models) **Learning** paradigms for \mathcal{L} (objective-based and regression-based)

Applications

Reinforcement learning and control (actor-critic methods, SAC, DDPG, GPS, BC) Variational inference (VAEs, semi-amortized VAEs) Meta-learning (HyperNets, MAML) Sparse coding (PSD, LISTA) Roots, fixed points, and convex optimization (NeuralDEQs, RLQP, NeuralSCS) Optimal transport (slicing, conjugation, Meta Optimal Transport)

Tutorial on amortized optimization for learning to optimize over continuous domains. Amos, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2023.

Reinforcement learning and **control** (actor-critic methods, SAC, DDPG, GPS, BC)

$$\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathbb{E}_{p(x)} Q(x, \pi_{\theta}(x))$$



Tutorial on amortized optimization for learning to optimize over continuous domains. Amos, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2023.

Reinforcement learning and control (actor-critic methods, SAC, DDPG, GPS, BC)

Iterative Amortized Policy Optimization 1.0^{-1} **Alexandre Piché** Joseph Marino* 0.5California Institute of Technology Mila, Université de Montréal **Alessandro Davide Ialongo Yisong Yue** $anh(\mu_3)$ California Institute of Technology University of Cambridge 0.0 Abstract Policy networks are a central feature of deep reinforcement learning (RL) algorithms for continuous control, enabling the estimation and sampling of high-value -0.5actions. From the variational inference perspective on RL, policy networks, when used with entropy or KL regularization, are a form of amortized optimization, optimizing network parameters rather than the policy distributions directly. However, direct amortized mappings can yield suboptimal policy estimates and restricted distributions, limiting performance and exploration. Given this perspective, we consider the more flexible class of *iterative* amortized optimizers. We demonstrate that the resulting technique, iterative amortized policy optimization, yields performance improvements over direct amortization on benchmark continuous control tasks. Accompanying code: github.com/joelouismarino/variational_rl.



Tutorial on amortized optimization for learning to optimize over continuous domains. Amos, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2023.

Reinforcement learning and control (actor-critic methods, SAC, DDPG, GPS, BC)

	Hana	bi scores					
Scalable Online Planning		Blueprint	SPARTA (Single)	SPARTA (Multi)	RL Search (Single)	RL Search (Multi)	
via Reinforcement Learning Fine-Tuning	Normal	$\begin{array}{c} 24.23 \pm 0.04 \\ 63.20\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24.57 \pm 0.03 \\ 73.90\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24.61 \pm 0.02 \\ 75.46\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24.59 \pm 0.02 \\ 75.05\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{24.62} \pm \textbf{0.03} \\ \textbf{75.93\%} \end{array}$	
	2 Hints	$\begin{array}{c} 22.99 \pm 0.04 \\ 17.50\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.60 \pm 0.03 \\ 25.85\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.67 \pm 0.03 \\ 26.87\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.61 \pm 0.03 \\ 27.85\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{23.76} \pm \textbf{0.04} \\ \textbf{31.01\%} \end{array}$	
Arnaud Fickinger*Hengyuan Hu*Brandon AmosFacebook AI ResearchFacebook AI ResearchFacebook AI Researcharnaudfickinger@fb.comhengyuan@fb.combda@fb.com	Ms. F	Ms. Pacman scores					
Stuart RussellNoam BrownUC BerkeleyFacebook AI Researchrussell@berkeley.edunoambrown@fb.com	Addit	ional Samples	s 0 3	$.10^5 4.10^5$	8.10^{5}		
	RL Fi	ne-Tuning	1880 3	940 4580	5510		
	PPO 7	Fraining	1880 1	900 1900	1920		

Tutorial on amortized optimization for learning to optimize over continuous domains. Amos, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2023.

Reinforcement learning and control (actor-critic methods, SAC, DDPG, GPS, BC)

Amortize by **learning a latent subspace** of optimal solutions **Only search over optimal solutions** rather than the entire space

The differentiable cross-entropy method. Amos and Yarats, ICML 2020.





Tutorial on amortized optimization for learning to optimize over continuous domains. Amos, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2023.

Reinforcement learning and **control** (actor-critic methods, SAC, DDPG, GPS, BC) Amortize by **learning a latent subspace** of optimal solutions

The differentiable cross-entropy method. Amos and Yarats, ICML 2020.



Brandon Amos

Tutorial on amortized optimization for learning to optimize over continuous domains. Amos, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2023.

Reinforcement learning and **control** (actor-critic methods, SAC, DDPG, GPS, BC)

Variational inference (VAEs, semi-amortized VAEs)

Given a VAE model $p(x) = \log \int_z p(x|z)p(x)$, encoding amortizes the optimization problem

 $\lambda^{\star}(x) = \underset{\lambda}{\operatorname{argmax}} \operatorname{ELBO}(\lambda; x) \quad \text{where} \quad \operatorname{ELBO}(\lambda; x) \coloneqq \mathbb{E}_{q(z;\lambda)}[\log p(x|z)] - \mathcal{D}_{\operatorname{KL}}(q(x;\lambda)|p(z)).$



VAE amortization is conceptually the same as RL



Amortized optimization

Tutorial on amortized optimization for learning to optimize over continuous domains. Amos, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2023.

Reinforcement learning and **control** (actor-critic methods, SAC, DDPG, GPS, BC)

Variational inference (VAEs, semi-amortized VAEs)

Meta-learning (HyperNets, MAML)

Given a task \mathcal{T} , amortize the computation of the optimal parameters of a model

 $\theta^{\star}(\mathcal{T}) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\theta} \ell_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta)$

Tutorial on amortized optimization for learning to optimize over continuous domains. Amos, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2023.

Reinforcement learning and **control** (actor-critic methods, SAC, DDPG, GPS, BC)

Variational inference (VAEs, semi-amortized VAEs)

Meta-learning (HyperNets, MAML)

Sparse coding (PSD, LISTA)

Given a dictionary W_d of basis vectors and input x, a sparse code is recovered with

$$y^{\star}(x) \in \underset{y}{\operatorname{argmin}} ||x - W_{d}y||_{2}^{2} + \alpha ||y||_{1}$$

Predictive sparse decomposition (PSD) and Learned ISTA (LISTA) **amortize this problem** Kavukcuoglu, Ranzato, and LeCun, 2010. Gregor and LeCun, 2010.

Tutorial on amortized optimization for learning to optimize over continuous domains. Amos, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2023.

Reinforcement learning and **control** (actor-critic methods, SAC, DDPG, GPS, BC)

Variational inference (VAEs, semi-amortized VAEs)

Meta-learning (HyperNets, MAML)

Sparse coding (PSD, LISTA)

Roots, fixed points, and convex optimization (NeuralDEQs, RLQP, NeuralSCS)



Tutorial on amortized optimization for learning to optimize over continuous domains. Amos, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2023.







Optimal transport (slicing, conjugation, Meta Optimal Transport)

 $T^{\star}(\alpha,\beta) \in \underset{T \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha,\beta)}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \alpha} \|x - T(x)\|_{2}^{2}$

Meta Optimal Transport. Amos et al., ICML 2023.

Tutorial on amortized optimization for learning to optimize over continuous domains. Amos, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2023.

Reinforcement learning and **control** (actor-critic methods, SAC, DDPG, GPS, BC)

Variational inference (VAEs, semi-amortized VAEs)

Meta-learning (HyperNets, MAML)

Sparse coding (PSD, LISTA)

Roots, fixed points, and convex optimization (NeuralDEQs, RLQP, N

Optimal transport (slicing, conjugation, Meta Optimal Transport)

$$f^{c}(y) = -\inf_{x} f(x) - x^{\mathsf{T}} y$$

On amortizing convex conjugates for optimal transport. Amos, ICLR 2023



Reinforcement learning and control (actor-critic methods, SAC, DDPG, GPS, BC)

Variational inference (VAEs, semi-amortized VAEs)

Meta-learning (HyperNets, MAML)

Sparse coding (PSD, LISTA)

Roots, fixed points, and convex optimization (NeuralDEQs, RLQP, NeuralSCS)

Optimal transport (slicing, conjugation, Meta Optimal Transport)



Foundations and Trends[®] in Machine Learning

Tutorial on amortized optimization for learning to optimize over continuous domains

Brandon Amos Facebook AI Research, Meta BDA@FB.COM

Future directions and limitations

Amortized optimization is established and budding with new methods and applications

Possible to expand far beyond unconstrained continuous Euclidean optimization settings:

- 1. New applications and settings for semi-amortized modeling
- 2. Constrained domains (e.g., with differentiable projections)
- 3. Discrete optimization settings (e.g., with differentiable discrete optimization)
- 4. Non-Euclidean settings (e.g., with Riemannian optimization)

Potential limitations:

- 1. Difficult in **out-of-domain settings** when the contexts significantly change
- 2. Generally difficult to ensure stability or convergence
- 3. Typically does not solve previously intractable problems
- 4. Can be difficult to obtain high-accuracy solutions without fine-tuning/semi-amortization



Amortized optimization

Brandon Amos

Meta AI NYC, Fundamental AI Research (FAIR)

 \bigcirc

github.com/facebookresearch/amortized-optimization-tutorial
github.com/bamos/presentations

The differentiable cross-entropy method [Amos and Yarats, ICML 2020] Neural Potts Model [Sercu*, Verkuil*, et al., MLCB 2020] On the model-based stochastic value gradient [Amos, Stanton, Yarats, Wilson, L4DC 2021] Online planning via RL fine-tuning [Fickinger*, Hu*, et al., NeurIPS 2021] Neural fixed-point acceleration [Venkataraman and Amos, ICML AutoML Workshop, 2021] On amortizing convex conjugates for optimal transport [Amos, ICLR, 2023] Meta Optimal Transport [Amos, Cohen, Luise, Redko, ICML 2023] Tutorial on amortized optimization [Amos, Foundations and Trends in ML, 2023]